# City of Concord Planning \& Zoning Commission <br> Meeting Agenda <br> Tuesday, April 18, 2023 at 6:00 PM <br> City Hall Council Chambers - 35 Cabarrus Avenue West 

I. Call to Order - Chair
II. Changes to the Agenda
III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES - Motion, second, and vote needed.
IV. Old BUSINESS
V. NEW BUSINESS

## 1. Z-09-23 (Legislative Hearing)

Administrative zoning map amendment for properties in Brandon Ridge Phases 2\&3 from un-zoned to Residential - County Originated (R-CO). Various PINs.
a. Open Public Hearing by Motion - Motion, second, and vote needed.
b. Staff Presentation
c. Staff Recommendation
d. Applicant's/Proponent's Testimony
e. Opponent's Testimony
f. Close Public Hearing by Motion - Motion, second, and vote needed.
g. Approve Statement of Consistency by Motion - Motion, second, and vote needed.
h. Approve/Deny Zoning Amendment by Motion - Motion, second, and vote needed.
2. SUP-07-21 (Quai-Judicial Hearing)

Robinson Weeks Partners has submitted a Special Use Permit application for +/- 49.85 acres of property located at 4208 Davidson Hwy for the purpose of building an office, warehouse, distribution, and light industrial business park. PINs 5601-88-8181, 5601-87-9077, and p/o 5601-87-7425.
a. Witnesses to be sworn in
b. Open Public Hearing by Motion - Motion, second, and vote needed.
c. Staff Presentation
d. Applicant's/Proponent's Testimony
e. Opponent's Testimony
f. Close Public Hearing by Motion - Motion, second, and vote needed.
g. Approve Findings of Fact by Motion - Motion, second, and vote needed.
h. Approve Conclusions of Law by Motion - Motion, second, and vote needed.
i. Approve/Deny Conditions and Permit by Motion - Motion, second, and vote needed.
VI. PETITIONS AND REQUESTS - NO PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED/PRESENTATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

1. TA-03-23 Consider a text amendment to amend the height for accessory structures.
a. Staff Presentation
b. Commission Guidance-- Motion, second, and vote needed

## VII. Recognition of Persons Requesting to be Heard

VIII. Matters not on the Agenda

## IX. ADJOURNMENT




Mumburamimiviviriv
Staff Report
Planning and Zoning Commission

| DATE: | April 18, 2023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| REZONING CASE \#: | Z-09-23 |
| DESCRIPTION: | Administrative Zoning Map Amendment <br> Un-zoned to R-CO (Residential County Originated) |
| APPLICANT: | City of Concord - Administrative |
| LOCATION: | Brandon Ridge Phases 2 and 3 |
| PIN\#s: | Multiple PIN's |
| AREA: | $+/-47.95$ acres |
| PREPARED BY: | George Daniels, Senior Planner |

## SUMMARY OF REQUEST

This is an administrative zoning request for a portion of the Brandon Ridge subdivision (Phases 2 and 3). The purpose of this zoning amendment is to assign City of Concord zoning to an area that is currently un-zoned. The request is for R-CO (Residential County Originated) zoning.

The area of this zoning request is fully developed as a single-family residential development, consisting of 243 separate parcels ( 234 homes and 9 common open space lots). The total land area is 47.95 acres

## BACKGROUND

Before annexation the Brandon Ridge subdivision was zoned Cabarrus County Medium Density Residential (MDR). Due to an agreement between Craft Homes, Cabarrus County and the City of Concord the Brandon Ridge subdivision was annexed in two phases with the effective dates of annexation delayed.

Phase 1 of the Brandon Ridge Subdivision was annexed by City Council on April 14, 2005 (Ord\# 05-22), and the effective date was set for June 30, 2007. At the July 17, 2007 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting, case Z-22-07 was presented as an administrative zoning map amendment for Phase 1, and the zoning was adopted as R-CO (Residential County Originated).

Phases 2 and 3, the subject of this zoning request, were annexed together by the City Council on November 10th, 2005 (Ord\# 05-100), and the effective date was set for November 30, 2007.

According to our records, phases 2 and 3 of Brandon Ridge were never brought to the Planning and Zoning Commission to have City of Concord zoning assigned.

R-CO (Residential County Originated) is a zoning district that was created with the adoption of the updated Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) on July 12, 2007. This zoning district was created for cases where an existing development, or an area that has approved plans, is annexed and there is not a compatible zoning district in the City of Concord. Setbacks and lot dimension standards will follow what is specified on the recorded plats, allowing the subject property to transition from Cabarrus County to City of Concord while avoiding the creation of non-conforming lots.

## SURROUNDING ZONING AND LAND USES

To the northeast of the Brandon Ridge subdivision the zoning is Cabarrus County MDR (Medium Density Residential), this area is developed as the Southbrook mobile home park. To the east the zoning is Cabarrus County LDR (Low Density Residential). The land to the east consists of an undeveloped parcel and a portion of the St Andrews Place single family subdivision.
On the west side the rezoning area is the Ramsgate subdivision, this is zoned RM-2 (Residential Medium Density).

## Existing Zoning and Land Uses (Subject Parcel)

| Current <br> Zoning of <br> Subject <br> Property | Zoning Within 500 Feet |  | Land Uses(s) of Subject Property | Land Uses within 500 Feet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Un-zoned | North | County MDR <br> (Medium <br> Density <br> Residential) | Single <br> Family Residential | North | Mobile homes |
|  | South | R-CO <br> (Residential County Originated) \& County LDR (Low Density Residential) |  | South | Single-family residential |
|  | East | County LDR (Low Density Residential) |  | East | Vacant \& single family residential |
|  | West | RM-2 (Residential Medium Density) |  | West | Single family residential |

## COMPLIANCE WITH 2030 LAND USE PLAN

The 2030 Land Use Plan (LUP) designates the subject property as "Suburban Neighborhood" for which R-CO (Residential County Originated) is as a corresponding zoning district.

## From the 2030 Land Use Plan - "Suburban Neighborhood":

The Suburban Neighborhood (SN) Future Land Use category includes single-family areas that are formed as subdivisions or communities, with a relatively uniform housing type and density throughout. They may support a variety of single-family detached residential types, from lowdensity single-family homes to denser formats of smaller single-family homes. In areas designated Suburban Neighborhood, homes are typically oriented interior to the neighborhood and are typically buffered from surrounding development by transitional uses or landscaped areas. Single-family attached dwellings are an option for infill development within the suburban neighborhood future land use category. These neighborhoods are often found in close proximity to suburban commercial, office, and industrial centers, and help provide the consumers and employment base needed to support these centers. Lots at intersections of collector and arterial streets within or at the edges of suburban neighborhoods may support small-scale, neighborhood serving, pedestrian-oriented commercial or service uses such as coffee shops, cafes, beauty salons and light retail. Pedestrian oriented uses exclude uses with drive-in, drive-through or automobile related services.

## Suggested Statement of Consistency

- The subject property is approximately $+/-47.95$ acres and currently has no zoning category assigned
- The subject property was annexed into the City on November 10, 2005, and the effective date was set for November 30, 2007.
- The proposed zoning is consistent with the 2030 Land Use Plan as R-CO (Residential County Originated) is a corresponding zoning classification to the Suburban Neighborhood land use category.
- Because the area is un-zoned it is necessary that a City of Concord zoning district is assigned. The zoning amendment is reasonable and in the public interest as it is consistent with the existing surrounding residential development, and first phase of the Brandon Ridge subdivision. The R-CO district would allow for a transition into City of Concord zoning and would avoid the creation of any non-conforming lots.


## Proceddral considerations

This particular case is a rezoning, which under the CDO, is legislative in nature. Legislative hearings do not require the swearing or affirming of witnesses prior to testimony at the public hearing. As the request is not a Conditional District no conditions may be applied.





## SURVEYOR＇S CERTIFICATE：

IJ JMMY N．FARES，CERTIFY THAT THS PLAT WAS DRAWN UNDER MY
SUPERSSION FROM AN ACTUAL SURVEY MADE UNOER MY SUPERVSION


 THA THS SURVE CREATES A SUEDVISION OF LAND WTHN HHE AREA OF A COUNTY OR MUNNIPALITY THAT HAS AN ORINANCE THAT
REGULATES PARCELS OF LAND．
MTNESS MY ORIGINAL SIINATURE，

JIMM N．FAlkEs，

XERTIFICATE OF ROAD MAINTENAACE
A．I WE）MAREBY EERTIFY THAT I（WE）WLL MAITTAN HE ROADS TO STANAROS SET FORTH BY THE NORTH CAROUNA DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORATATV UNTL THE RESPECTVE GOURERNENTAL AGENCY TAKES OVER THIS RESPONSIBLITY．（THIS GOES NOT INCLUDE REMOVAL OF SNOW OR ICE）
$\overline{\text { DATE }}$

## OWNER／DEVEDOPER

B．ROAD MAINTENANCE SHALL HEREB MBE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE THE MINIMUM STANOARDS OF THE NORTA CAROLINA DEPAPTMENT

AGENCY TAKES OVER THIS RESPONSIBLITY，THE ROAD
MAINTENAMEE AGREEMENT IS RECORDED IN

REGIStER OF DEEDS
$-\overline{\text { DATE }}$
OWNER／DEVELOPER

## REVIEW OFFICER：

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
1 Marshael
15 Marshal REVIEW OFFICER OF CABARRUS COUNTY， AFFIXED MEETS ALL STATATORY REOUREMENTS OF NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL STATUTES FOR RECOROING THIS DOES NOT CONSTIUTE
CERTIICATON AS TO COMPLANE WTH ANY CERTIFICATON AS TO COMPLIANCE WITH ANY OR ALL LOCAL
OROINANCES OF ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL JURISDICTONS．
S．Marshale
$8 / 16 / 05$
REVEW OFFICER B：Karan Gwosom

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL BY THE SUBDIVISION ADMINISTRATOR

1．M．Martheel SUBDIVSION ADMINISTRATOR OF CABARRUS COUNTY HEREBY APPROVE THE FINAL PLAT OF THE SUBDIVIION ENTTLED BRANDON RIDGE SUBDIVIIION ON THE
LL－DAY OF SL MONTH，OF THE DSOS YEAR．
$\underset{\text { SUBDIVISION ADMINISTRATOR }}{G \text { manshel Kasni Guodan }}$

FILING STATEMENT
filed for registration on 16 day of Argenst a．d．
2005 AT 9：07 O＇CLOCK AM．AND REGISTERED IN THE OfFICE OF THE REGISTER OF DEEDS，CABARRUS COUNTY．
book 47 and page 52
Linda f．MCABEE REGISTER OF DEEDS
Bxtlephoni－Aldifoel ASSHTATT／DEPUTY


## CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP AND

 DEDICATION（WE）hereby certify that I am（We are）the owner（）of the PROPERTY SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON AND THAT I（WE）HEREBY AROPETTY SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON AND THAT I（WE）HER
ADOP OF SUBDISIION WTH MY（OUR）FREE CONSENT， ESTABLISHED MINMUM BUILDING LINES，AND DEDICATE ALL ROADS＇
ALLEYS，WALKS，PARKS AND OTHER SITES TO THE PUBLIC USE EXCEP AL NOTER FIRTHER，（ WE）CERTFY THE LAND AS SHOWN HEREON
IS WTHY IHEPLARIMO WNASERTION OF CABARRUS COUNTY．
NATE Culnebes．
DATE $\qquad$

CURVE TABLE

LINE TABLE



| DATE： | REVSION： |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8／12／05 |  |
|  |  |


| DON RIDGE SUBDIVISION PHASE II <br> SHEET 2 OF 2 <br> NUMBER 11 TOWNSHIP，CABARRUS COUNTY，N．C． |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OWNER／DEVELOPER： | CRAFT DEVELOPMENT <br> 2649 BREKONRIDGE CENTRE DRNE，SUITE 107 MONROE，NORTH CAROLINA 28110 704-289-3362 |  |  |  |
| 8 | CHAS．H．SELLS，INC． <br> CONSULTING ENGINEERS，SURVEYORS，AND PHOTOGRAMMETRISTS 128 OVERHILL DRIVE，SUITE 105 <br> MOORESVILLE，NC 28117 <br> PHONE：704．662．0100 FAX：704．662．0101 WWW．CHASHSELLS．COM |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prelim．Plat Approvo $11 / 18 / 02$ | Fiold $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$（ ${ }^{\text {cok }}$ | Job No． 046133 |
| ${ }^{\text {Scole }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dote } \\ \text { AuGUST 12, } 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Drwg. No. } \\ 2 \text { of } 2 \end{gathered}$ | File Name Oner33－mun＿phase |

## F35d47

1, JMMY N. FARES CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAT WAS DRAWN UNDER MY
SUPERVSION FROM AN ACTUAL SURVEY MADE UNDER MY SUPERVIION (DEED DESCRIPTION RECORDED IN BOOK 3877 PAGE 19; THAT THE RATIO OF PRECISION AS CALCULATED IS 1: $10,000+$. THAT THE BOUNARATES
NOT SURVEYED ARE CLEARLY SHOWN AS BROKEN LNES DRAWN FROM INFORMATION FOUNO INARLY SHOWN AS BROKEN LINES DRAWN FROM
IB77, PAGE 9; THAT THIS PLAT WAS REPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH G.S. $47-30$ AS AMENDED; OF A COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY THAT HAS AN LAND WITHIN THE AREA REGULATES PARCELS OF LAND. WTNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE,
REGISTRATON NUMBER ANG SEAL.
HHIS 4. 4 DAY OF OSTEEE, A.D. 2005.
Commn M Jonea
LMMMY N FARES
LIGENSE NUMBER: $L-43$


SURVEYORS NOTES \& SITE DATA'"'

## 1) EXISTNG ZONING: MDR

TAX PAREL: $5538-38-8012 \& 5538-47-6873$
APPARENT SOURCE OF TTLE:
PROPERTY ADDRESS: PINEY CHURCH ROAD NUMBER 11 TOWNAHP
CABARRUS COUNTY, NC
$5500+$ SF LOTS
FRONT SETBACK:
REAR SETBACK:
$30^{\prime}$ (TYPICAL)
$20^{\prime}$
SIDE YARD:
$20^{\prime}$
$5^{\prime}, 15^{\prime} \& 13^{\prime}$
$50^{\prime}$
$\frac{4510-5499 \text { SF LOTS }}{\text { FRONT SETBACK: }}$
RONT SETBACK: $20^{\prime}$ \& $30^{\prime}($ TYPICAL $)$
REAR YARD: $\begin{array}{ll}\text { REAR YARD: } & { }^{\prime} 0^{\prime}, \\ \text { SIDE YARD } \\ \text { MIN. LOT WDTH: } & 41^{\prime}\end{array}$
2) TOTAL AREA FOR DEVELOPMENT:
 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { AREA } \operatorname{N~RPAD~RPACE~THIS~PHASE:~} & 8.39 \text { AC. } \\ \text { ATHIS PHASE: } & 2.28 \text { AC }\end{array}$ AREA IN ROAD R/W THIS PHASE:
NUMBER OF LOTS IN THIS PHASE: TOTAL NUMBER OF LOTS IN SUBE: 75 LOTSION: 321
TOTAL LINEAR FEET OF STREET: KELLYBROOK DRIVE:
LITTLETON DRIVE: LITLETON DRIVE:
3) THIS SURVEY IS
PARCELS OF LAND.
4) STE, RRANGLLS AT ALL INTERSECTONS 5) 5) ACCORDING TO FI.R.M. COMMUNITY PANEL NUMBER: 37025C-0120-D FOR CABARRUS COUNTY, NC, DATED
NOVEMBER 2, 1994, THIS PARCEL IS NOT IN A FLOOD PLAIN.
6) A HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION WLL BE ESTABLISHED.
HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION WIL BE RESPONSBLE HHE MANTENANCE OF ALL COMMON OPEN SPACE AND
THE REGULATING
SUBDIVISION.
7) AREA BY COORDINATE GEOMETRY
8) PRELIMINARY PLAT APPROVED ON $11 / 18 / 02$.
9) ENGINEER:

NEIGHBORHOOD DESIGNS
2649 BREKONRIDGE CENTER DRIV
SUITE 104
SUITE 104
MONROE, NC 28110
10) REFERENCES:
1.BOUNDARY SURVEY FOR CRAFT HOMES BY RICHARD B. BROOKS (L-2689) DATED 10/18/00.
2.RESTRICTIONS FOR BRANOON RIDGE 2.RESTRICTONS FR BRANOON R
RECORDED IN DB 5928, PG 101.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2027 \text { L. } \\
& 1314 \mathrm{LF} . \\
& 395 \text { LF. }
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { EXCEPT LOTS } 82.218 \& 272 \\
\text { HAVE } 13^{\text {ON }} \text { SID }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

BOOK 47 PAGE 95 ,



\#35248

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE:
I) JMMY N. FARES, CERTIFY THAT THIIS PLAT WAS RRAWN UNDER MY
SUPERVSION FROM AN ACTUAL SURVYY MADE UNDER MY SUPERYSION (DEED DESCRITTOO RECOROED N BOOK 3877 PAGE 19); THAT THE



 OF A COUNTY OR MUNIIPALITY THAT HAS AN ORRINANCE THAT | REGITRATRN NMMER ANO SEAL |
| :--- |
| THIS | $\qquad$

m. Sana


REVIEW OFFICER:


STATE OF NORTM CAROLINA
CABARRUS COUNTY
I. Marshall

CETTFY THAT THE MAP OR OEVEWT OFFICER OF CABARRUS COUNTY WHICH THIS CERTICICTION is AFIXED MEETS AL STATUTORY REOUTREMENTS OF NORTH CAROLIN
J. Markhel Ka : Kascie Gwoder
REVEW OFFCER $\qquad$ DATE

## CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP AND

 DEDICATION(WE) HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM (WE ARE) THE OWNER() OF THE

 AS NOTED. FURTHER, (WE) CERTFF THE LAND AS SHOONSERERON
S WITHN THE PLATTNG UURIIOICTON OF CABARRS COUNTY. $10 / 1 / 105$ La vithees

## FILING STATEMENT

filed for registration on 12 day of oct. a.d. 2005at 9:08 o'clock 月 m m and registed in the $^{2}$ OFFIIE OF THE REGISTER OF DEEDS, CAbarrus county

> THE INTENT OF THIS PLAT IS TO REVISE THE PREVOUSY RECORDE PLAT. THIS PLAT SUPERCEDES THE PREVIOUSLY RECORDED PLAT, PECORDFD IN PIAT BOOK 47 PAGE

$$
\text { BOOK } 47 \text { AND PAGE } 96
$$

Linda f. mcabee register of deeds
Cath K.tanmorlon


CURVE TABLE


| DATE: | REVISION: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/12/05 | REVSION: ADDED 13' SET BACK ON LOTS 82, 218 \& 272 |  |  |  |
| 9/28/05 | REVSED AREA OF COMMON OPEN SPACE |  |  |  |
| REVISION OF PLAT BOOK 47, PAGE 53 BRANDON RIDGE SUBDIVISION PHASE III SHEET 2 OF 2 <br> NUMBER 11 TOWNSHIP, CABARRUS COUNTY, N.C. |  |  |  |  |
| OWNER/DEVELOP |  | RAFT DEVLOPMENT dge Centre drive NORTH CAROLINA 704-289-3362 | $\text { UITE } 107$ $10$ |  |
| CHAS. H. SELLS, INC. <br> CONSULTING ENGINEERS, SURVEYORS, AND PHOTOGRAMMETRISTS 128 OVERHILL DRIVE. SUITE 105 MOORESVLLE. NC 28117 hSElis COM |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {comm }}^{\text {Orome }}$ | Prelim. Plat Approva $11 / 18 / 02$ | Ford $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Job No. } \\ & 046133 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| No Scale | Chececos ory |  | Stry. No. | File Nome 046133-rmal_phase 3 |

Please note: Per CDO Section 6.2.1 "Special Use Permits are issued on a case by case basis Special uses are generally compatible with the land uses permitted by right in a zoning district, but require individual review as to their location, design, and configuration. Special uses ensure the appropriateness of the use at a particular location within a given zoning district." Approval or denial of a Special Use Permit should be based on the information ascertained from the public hearing and reflected in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

## Background

The subject property consists of two (2) parcels, and a portion of a third, comprising approximately 49.85 acres located at 4208 Davidson Highway. The property is currently vacant land.

The subject property was annexed into the City on June 30, 1992 as part of a City initiated annexation. After annexation, the property was administratively zoned a combination of Residential Medium and High Density (R-3 and R-4). After the adoption of the Concord Development Ordinance in 2000, the R-3 and R-4 zonings became RC and RV zoning, which is their functional equivalent. A portion of the property was rezoned to Conditional Use Light Industrial (CUI-1) at some point after 1995, however the staff could not locate background information on that zoning case.

On August 21, 2007, a rezoning request from CUI-1 (Light Industrial Conditional Use), RV (Residential Village) and RC (Residential Compact) to Mixed Use - Commercial Center Large (MX-CC2) was approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission (Case Z(CD)-33-07). The approved plan proposed a mixed-use development comprised of approximately 250,000 square feet of office and 250,000 square feet of commercial uses. Due to the economic climate following the approval of the 2007 rezoning to MX-CC2, the proposed development was never constructed.

In 2021, the petitioners filed a zoning amendment application to rezone the property from MX-CC2 to Light Industrial Conditional District (I-1(CD)). The zoning petition (Z(CD)-07-21) was denied by the Planning and Zoning Commission on April 19, 2022 and as a result of the denial, the petition was automatically forwarded to City Council for final decision. The petitioner undertook a noise assessment, and after several continuances with the applicants working with the neighbors on site plan conditions to mitigate sound issues, Council approved the petition on October 13, 2022. These conditions included the addition of landscaping and solid fencing adjacent to the Cambridge Commons subdivision. The approved conditional district zoning permits manufacturing and other industrial uses by right, but acknowledges that a Special Use Permit is required to establish warehousing/distribution on the site. Note that the rezoning also included a five (5) acre parcel of Commercial General (C-2) zoning to the west which is not part of this petition. The 2030 Land Use Plan designates the subject property as "Industrial/Employment."

Properties to the south include single family detached dwellings and townhomes which are zoned RV, RC-CU and PUD. Conventional C-2 zoning is also present on the south side of NC 73 along with C-2(CD) property. This C-2(CD) petition was recently modified to allow automobile repair as well as other C-2 uses. (Z(CD)-14-22.) Land to the east is single family detached (Cambridge Commons), and Interstate 85 lies to the north, with the City of Kannapolis corporate limits on the north side. Land to the west is zoned C-2 and consists of undeveloped land and a combination Shell convenience store and McDonalds. The signalized intersection for the International Business Park is located to the southwest.

The petitioner has applied for approval of a Special Use Permit to allow a product distribution facility on the subject site. In addition to the application, the petitioner has submitted a two-page site plan (which is identical to the version approved by City Council) and a narrative to support the application.

As depicted on the submitted site plan, (Exhibit A) two (2) Industrial Buildings, Building A ( $+/-178,500$ sq. ft.) and Building B ( $+/-240,500$ sq. ft.), associated parking, loading areas, two (2) amenity areas, and landscape buffers are proposed for the I-1-CD portion of the project. Building A's principal access is proposed from a right-of-way to the west (Rhylma Place), and Building B's access is proposed from a direct full-access connection to NC 73. The two building sites are proposed to be connected via an emergency drive that involves a stream crossing. The site has significant topography which will result in the front building (Building "B") sitting approximately 15-20' below the elevation of Hwy 73, as well as the single-family neighborhood to the east (Cambridge Commons). Therefore,
parking areas and internal roads will be less visible, and noise as well as ambient light may lessened by the lower elevation. The second building at the back of the site (Building "A"), will sit at a higher elevation, with more visibility from I-85 than Hwy 73. The building is oriented toward the northwest portion of the site, further from the adjacent residential uses. A stream with undisturbed buffers provides additional buffering and separation from the front of the site along Hwy 73.

The proposed development includes a 100-foot-wide type D buffer along the eastern boundary, adjacent to the Cambridge Commons subdivision. Note that this buffer was a condition of zoning approval, and exceeds the standard type D buffer, which is 50 feet wide. The developer's intent is to preserve existing vegetation to the greatest extent possible. The petitioner, during the zoning process, consented to engage the services of a professional arborist to prepare a tree report to identify trees within the buffer for preservation. This report will be presented to the City Arborist for review and approval relative to the removal of dead and dying trees. Additionally, the developer is committing to install a 12-foot tall wooden screen fence along the access drive on the eastern portion of the property and to supplement that screen with green giant arborvitae, planted on the east side, at a rate of 8 trees per 100 feet, or at a rate determined by the City Arborist.

In addition to the standard parking lot and street yard requirements along Hwy 73, a 4' tall black powder-coated aluminum decorative fence with evergreen shrubs planted 5' O.C. on the street-side of the fence will be installed between the street yard and parking lot yard. Two (2) employee amenity areas are depicted on the plans, which include, at a minimum, benches and picnic tables. Maximum impervious area for I-1 zoning is $80 \%$, while the proposed impervious area for this development is shown as $42.8 \%$, almost half of what is allowable.

The petitioners committed to architectural elevations during the rezoning process, and those elevations will be applicable to this project. These structures meet the minimum requirements of the CDO , and the structures will be a maximum of 50 feet in height.

A Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) was developed and approved for the project during the zoning process. Improvements identified during the zoning process include:

- Construct a southbound right-turn lane with 150 of storage on Rhylma Place/International Drive.
- Construct/stripe the southbound approach of Westgate Circle to contain a single ingress lane, a single egress lane, stop control and a 100 -internally protected stem. Construct a westbound right-turn lane with 100 feet of storage.

The site plan, landscape plan, and building elevations and other documents have been reviewed by the Development Review Committee and either meet or exceed the minimum standards of the Concord Development Ordinance (CDO), with the approval of conditions. As such, if approved, the site plan will be subject to technical site plan approval, and subject to the allocation of sanitary sewer by City Council.

Please note: The draft "Conclusions of Law" listed below may be modified/added to by the Planning and Zoning Commission based on evidence presented during the course of the public hearing. Since the request is quasi-judicial, staff's draft "Conclusions of Law" are based on general information included in the application submittal process and site/surrounding area observations. The burden of proof rests with the applicant in providing supporting information and facts.

## Proposed Findings of Fact

1. The subject property is located at 4208 Davidson Highway
2. The property is owned by AAC/Shoffner Development Limited Partnership, a NC Limited Partnership and Shoffner Development, LLC.
3. The request is for the development of a product distribution complex consisting of two structures totaling 419,000 square feet.
4. The subject property is zoned I-1(CD)(Light Industrial Conditional District) and the future land use designation is "Industrial/Employment".
5. The property consists of 49.85 acres.
6. The adopted Land Use Plan designates the property as Industrial/Employment.
7. The submitted site plan (Exhibit A "Site Plan" sheets RZ-1 (dated 3/14/23) and RZ-2 (dated $11 / 04 / 22$ ) and application with narrative.

## APPROVAL CRITERIA (Conclusions of Law)

In accordance with CDO Article 6.2, the Commission shall permit only those uses that are part of the special use permit. It should be noted that the burden of proof is with the applicant to provide evidence at the hearing as to compliance with the following criteria. The following criteria shall be issued by the Commission as the basis for review and approval of the project:

1) The proposed conditional use conforms to the character of the neighborhood, considering the location, type, and height of buildings or structures and the type and extent of landscaping and screening on the site.
The proposed use conforms to the adjacent industrial land uses and industrial zoning on the south side of NC 73 at International Business Park. The subject property is part of a larger area designated as "Industrial/Employment" by the 2030 Land Use Plan. Landscaping and screening for the site was included on the site plan for the conditional district zoning request and exceeds the minimum required in Article 11.
2) Adequate measures shall be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic hazards and to minimize traffic congestion on the public roads.
A Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) was submitted to and approved by the City of Concord's Transportation Department and NCDOT during the conditional district zoning process. The site has direct access to NC 73 as well as access to a signalized intersection at Rhylma Drive and has adequate ingress/egress for the proposed use. The approved TIA requires multiple onsite and offsite transportation improvements in order to accommodate existing traffic on NC 73.
3) The proposed use shall not be noxious or offensive by reason of vibration, noise, odor, dust, smoke or gas.
The proposed use is not a manufacturing use and would not generate odor, dust, smoke or gas. A noise assessment was prepared as part of the conditional district zoning request, and enhanced buffering and screening is proposed to mitigate the noise impacts on the adjacent residential uses. The loading docks for the development will be located interior to the site and the main entrance drive serving Building A will be screened from the adjacent residential property with a 12 ' tall wooden fence and the planting of evergreen arborvitae.
4) The establishment of the proposed use shall not impede the orderly development and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district.
The subject property lies within lies within an area designated as "Industrial/Employment" by the 2030 Land Use Plan. Additional screening and buffering measures are proposed on the site, and was as result of the approved conditional district rezoning petition.
5) The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the proposed use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare.
The proposed use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare. The site plan has been reviewed by all applicable City departments as well as NCDOT to ensure that site design standards have been met or exceeded. Development of the site will be required to adhere to all applicable City, state and federal regulations as it relates to design and operation.
6) Compliance with any other applicable Sections of this Ordinance.

Section 8.3.7.F specifies that product distribution centers and warehousing and storage of non-farm related products be located on streets that are classified as either arterials or thoroughfares, or that the development be located on a street which accesses an arterial or thoroughfare and that does not serve passenger vehicle traffic other than employees or customers of the development in which the proposed facility is located. Davidson Highway (NC 73) is functionally classified as a thoroughfare. Building B is proposed to have direct access to Davidson Highway. Building A has access via Rhylma Drive, which serves only traffic to the subject development and adjacent parcels.

## Recommendation and Suggested Conditions

If the Commission votes to approve the special use permit, staff recommends the Commission consider adopting with the following conditions:

1) Compliance with the following documents for "Highway 73 Industrial" as presented to the Planning and Zoning Commission on April 18, 2023: Exhibit A "Site Plan" sheets RZ-1 (dated 3/14/23) and RZ-2 (dated 11/04/22) and Exhibit B, "An Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City of Concord, North Carolina for Property Located at 4208 and 4515 Davidson Highway, Concord NC" (dated 10/11/22).
2) Technical Site Plan will be required in compliance with CDO.
3) All minimum Concord Development Ordinance and Technical Standards Manual regulations shall be met. No deviations from required standards are permitted as part of the Special Use Permit approval, except minor deviations as defined in Section 6.2.2.K of the CDO.

Date October 18, 2021

APPLICANT NAME: Tyler Jones COMPANY NAME: Robinson Weeks Partners
APPLICANT ADDRESS: 3350 Riverwood Parkway, Suite 700

CITY: Atlanta
STATE: Georgia
ZIP: 30339

PHONE NUMBER OF APPLICANT: 678-303-0167

OWNER OF PROPERTY (if different from applicant) See Exhibit A attached hereto
OWNER ADDRESS: See Exhibit A CITY See Exhibit A STATE $\qquad$ ZIP $\qquad$

PROJECT ADDRESS (if an address exists): 4208 Davidson Highway
P.I.N.: 5601888181,5601879077 and a portion of 5601877425

Area of Subject Property (in acres, or square feet): $+/-49.8510$ acres
Lot Width: + /- 1,460 feet Lot Depth: + /- 1,400 feet

Current Zoning Classification: I-1(CD)

Existing Land Use: Vacant and residential
Description of Use Requested:
An office, warehouse, distribution and light industrial business park that would contain a maximum of 500,000 square feet of gross floor area.

## Certification

I hereby acknowledge and say that the information contained herein and herewith is true, and this application shall not be scheduled for official consideration until all of the required contents are submitted in proper form to the Development Services Department.

Date: $\qquad$ Applicant Signature: See attached Signature Page

Date: $\qquad$ Property Owner Signature: See attached Signature Page

Application for

## General Requirements

The Concord Development Ordinance (CDO) imposes the following general requirements. Under each requirement, the applicant should explain, with reference to the attached plans (when applicable) how the proposed use satisfies these requirements.
(a) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that "the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the proposed use shall not be detrimental to, or endanger, the public health, safety or general welfare."
$\qquad$
(b) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that "the proposed use "conforms to the character of the neighborhood, considering the location, type, and height of buildings or structures, and the type and extent of landscaping and screening on the site."

See Exhibit B attached hereto
(c) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that the proposed use "provides ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic hazards and to minimize congestion on the public roads."

See Exhibit B attached hereto
(d) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that the proposed use "shall not be noxious or offensive by reason of vibration, noise, odor, dust, smoke or gas."

See Exhibit B attached hereto
(e) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that "the establishment of the proposed use shall not impede the orderly development and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district."

See Exhibit B attached hereto

## Specific Requirements

The Concord Development Ordinance also imposes SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS on the proposed use(s) requested by the applicant. The applicant should be prepared to demonstrate that, if the land is used in a manner consistent with the plans, specifications, and other information presented to the Planning and Zoning Commission, the proposed use(s) will comply with specific requirements concerning the following:
(1) Nature of use(s) (type, number of units, and/or area):

An office, warehouse, distribution and light industrial business park that would contain a maximum of 500,000 square feet of gross floor area
(2) Accessory uses (if any):

Accessory uses permitted in the I-1 zoning district.
(3) Setback provisions:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(4) Height provisions:

Will meet the Ordinance requirements.
(5) Off-street parking and loading provisions:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(6) Sign provisions:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(7) Provisions for screening, landscaping and buffering:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(8) Provisions for vehicular circulation and access to streets:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(9) Adequate and safe design for grades, paved curbs and gutters, drainage systems, and treatment or turf to handle storm water, prevent erosion, and subdue dust:
Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(10) Adequate, safe and convenient arrangement of pedestrian circulation facilities, roadways, driveways, offstreet parking and loading spaces, facilities for waste disposal, and illumination:
Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(11) An adequate amount, and safe location of, play areas for children and other recreational uses, according to the concentration of residential occupancy:
N/A
(12) Fences, walls, or year-round screen planting abutting residential districts, to protect residences from parking lot illumination, headlights, fumes, heat, blowing papers, and dust (to reduce the visual encroachment on privacy and residents):
Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan,
(13) Open space (including flood hazard area):

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(14) Improvements within the common open space:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(15) Parking Areas:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(16) Sidewalks, trails and bikeways:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.
(17) Lighting and utilities:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance. See the Site Plan.

## NORTH CAROLINA <br> High Performance Living

Application for
Special Use Permit
(18) Site furnishings:

Will meet the requirements of the Ordinance.
(19) Adequate fire, police, water and sewer services:

Will meet all requirements.
(20) Other requirements as may be requested by the applicant or specified by the City Council for protection of the public health, safety, welfare and convenience:
Will meet such requirements.

Signatures of Property Owners to Application for Special Use Permit Filed by Robinson Weeks Partners

Parcel Identification Nos. 5601879077 and 5601877425
AAC/SHOFFNER DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a NC limited partnership

By: AAC Concord I Limited Partnership, its general partner
By: AAC Concord Development GP, LLC, its general partner


Name: Paul L. Herndon
Title: Vice President
Date: September 14, 2021


Signatures of Property Owners to Application for Special Use Permit Filed by Robinson Weeks Partners


Parcel Identification Nos. 5601879077 and 5601877425
 partnership

By:


Name:
Title

Date:

$\qquad$ , 2021


## ROBINSON WEEKS PARTNERS



Title: Senier VD
Date: Septenter 7 , 2021

# Exhibit A to Application for Special Use Permit Filed by Robinson Weeks Partners 

## Property Owners Information

## Parcel Identification Nos. 5601879077 and 5601877425

AAC/Shoffner Development Limited Partnership, a NC limited partnership Attention: Paul Herndon
5950 Fairview Road, Suite 800
Charlotte, NC 28210

Phone: 704-295-4000

Email: pherndon@aacusa.com

## Parcel Identification No. 5601888181

Shoffner Development, LLC
Attention: Kelsey Pierce
PO Box 600
Morris, OK 74445
Phone: 918-599-7755
Email: kpierce@barberbartz.com

# Exhibit B to Application for Special Use Permit 

Filed by Robinson Weeks Partners

## NC Highway 73 Site

## General Requirements

(a) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that "the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the proposed use shall not be detrimental to, or endanger, the public health, safety or general welfare."

At the hearing on this Application, the Applicant will present testimony and evidence that shows that the proposed development of the parcels for an office, warehouse, distribution and light industrial business park that would contain a maximum of 500,000 square feet of gross floor area, as more particularly described on the site plan submitted herewith (the "Project"), will not be detrimental to, or endanger, the public health, safety or general welfare.

The Applicant's traffic engineer will testify that the vehicular access points for the Project will provide safe ingress to and safe egress from the Project to the adjacent street network. Moreover, NC Highway 73 can accommodate the vehicular trips to be generated by the Project. Additionally, the Applicant's traffic engineer will discuss any required traffic mitigation measures. As a result, the Applicant's traffic engineer will testify that the proposed Project will not be detrimental to, or endanger, the public health, safety or general welfare from a transportation or traffic standpoint.

The Applicant's site engineer will state that the Project will meet all applicable storm water, soil erosion and sedimentation control and environmental ordinances and regulations. The Applicant's site engineer will testify that the Project will be served by public water and sewer. Therefore, the proposed use and development will not be detrimental to, or endanger, the public health, safety or general welfare from a storm water, erosion or environmental standpoint. The Applicant's site engineer will also discuss the design of the Project, and he will describe the buffers and landscaping that will mitigate any adjacency issues.

Testimony will be provided regarding the lighting of the Project as well.
(b) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that the proposed use "conforms to the character of the neighborhood, considering the location, type, and height of buildings or structures, and the type and extent of landscaping and screening on the site."

Testimony will be provided that shows that the Project will conform to the character of the neighborhood considering the location, type, and height of buildings or structures, and the type and extent of landscaping and screening on the site.

The site is currently being requested to be rezoned to the I-1 (CD) zoning district by the Applicant.

The proposed use is consistent with the recommendation in the 2030 Land Use Plan that this site be devoted to Industrial/Employment uses. According to the Ordinance, the I-1 zoning district is a light industrial zoning district. The proposed Project will be compatible with and conform to the character of this type of development.

The subject site is located on NC Highway 73, a four lane, median divided highway capable of supporting the proposed Project, and the site is in close proximity to the I-85 NC Highway 73 interchange.

Due to the width of the NC Highway 73 right of way, there is significant separation between the site and the parcels of land located on the south side of NC Highway 73. With respect to the adjacent single-family parcels to the east of the site, the Applicant's site engineer will discuss and describe the ways in which the site is adequately screened and buffered from the adjacent single family parcels to the east of the site.

With respect to the location of the proposed buildings, the buildings will be situated on the site a sufficient distance from the exterior boundary lines of the site, and the site will be sufficiently screened and buffered.

The site will be screened and buffered from adjacent parcels of land and landscaped in conformity with the requirements of the Ordinance. The Applicant's site engineer will discuss and describe the screening and buffers at the hearing.
(c) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that the proposed use "provides ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic hazards and to minimize congestion on the public roads".

As noted above, the Applicant's traffic engineer will testify that the vehicular access points for the Project will provide safe ingress to and safe egress from the proposed Project to the adjacent street network. Moreover, NC Highway 73 can accommodate the vehicular trips to be generated by the Project. The Applicant's traffic engineer will also discuss any required traffic mitigation measures. Therefore, the proposed Project will provide ingress and egress designed to minimize traffic hazards and congestion on the public roads.
(d) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that the proposed use "shall not be noxious or offensive by reason of vibration, noise, odor, dust, smoke or gas."

The proposed Project is not a heavy industrial use. The proposed Project will not generate vibrations, excessive noise, odor, dust, smoke or gas and, therefore, will not be noxious or offensive by reason of these matters.
(e) The Planning and Zoning Commission must find that "the establishment of the proposed use shall not impede the orderly development and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district."

The establishment of the proposed Project will not impede the orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district.

The parcels to the east of the site are developed for single family uses and many of the parcels located across NC Highway 73 from the site are developed. The parcel to the west of the site is developed for commercial uses.

The Project will not adversely impact the neighboring parcels devoted to residential uses, or the other nearby parcels. As noted above, the 2030 Land Use Plan calls for this site to be devoted to Industrial/Employment uses, meaning that if the special use permit is approved, the resulting use will be consistent with the use contemplated under the Land Use Plan for the site. Further, the Applicant's site engineer will discuss and describe the ways in which the site is adequately screened and buffered from the neighboring parcels.
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PIN\#: 5601-77-8447, 5601-88-8181, 5601-879077, and 5601-87-7425
CASE \#: Z(CD)-07-21

ORD. 22-115

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP
OF THE CITY OF CONCORD, NORTH CAROLINA FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4208 AND 4515 DAVIDSON HIGHWAY, CONCORD, NC

WHEREAS, the City of Concord, North Carolina, pursuant to the authority conferred by the North Carolina General Statutes 160A-364 enacted an Official Zoning Ordinance for the City of. Concord, North Carolina and the Area of Extraterritorial Jurisdiction on July 28, 1977; and

WHEREAS, the City of Concord, North Carolina, pursuant to the authority conferred by North Carolina General Statute 160D-601 through 160D-605, 160D-701 through 160D-706, 160D-801 through 160D-808 and 160D-901 through 160D-951, may from time to time as necessary amend, supplement, change, modify or repeal certain of its zoning regulations and restrictions and zone boundaries; and

WHEREAS, the City of Concord; North Carolina, pursuant to the authority conferred by North Carolina General Statute, Chapter 160A, Art. 19, Session Laws of 1993, Chapter 247, House Bill 575 and Section 3.2.4.B. 2 of the Concord Development Ordinance does hereby allow the Planning and Zoning. Commission to be final approval authority for zoning changes of land, provided that at least three-fourths of the members present vote in the affirmative, and no appeal of the decision is taken; and

WHEREAS, Section 3.2.4.B.5 of the Concord Development Ordinance specifies that any person aggrieved by the decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission shall have the right to appeal the decision to the City Council within fifteen days of the decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission decision by giving written notice to the Administrator; and

WHEREAS, Section 3.2.4.B. 2 of the Concord Development Ordinance specifies that a final approval decision shall not be in effect until the fifteen-day appeal period expires;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED by the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Concord, North Carolina:

SECTION 1. That the P\&Z Commission held a duly advertised public hearing on April 19th, 2022. At the close of the public hearing, the P\&Z Commission adopted the following "Statement of Zoning Consistency" as required by NC Gen Stat 160D-605.

- The subject property is located on the north side of Davidson Highway (also referred to as Highway 73 ), just east of the $[-85$ interchange, is $+/-54.8519$ acres, and is currently vacant.
- The subject property was voluntarily annexed on December 31, 1995 and on April 17, 2007 the property was rezoned to MX-CC2. The rezoning included a site plan, technical standards, and architectural renderingsililustrations for the proposed development.
- The proposed l-1-CD (Light Industrial Conditional District) and C-2 (General Commercial) zoning classifications are considered corresponding to the 2030 Land Use Plan's Industrial/Employment land use category. The proposal meets the intent of the Industrial/Employment (IE) Future Land Use category by developing an industrial use suited for additional industrial development due to the presence of infrastructure and access to transportation routes, such as major highways and railroads. Furthermore, Industrial Uses such as the International Business Park and other industrial uses have already developed in close proximity.
- The zoning amendment is reasonable and in the public interest as the petition proposes an Industrial Use in close proximity to I-85 where most tractor trailer traffic will be routed to and from, minimizing the impact on traffic along Highway 73 , and allows the property to develop as an Industrial Use which is consistent with the area.

The P\&Z Commission then voted to DENY the map amendment and said vote was not by the required super-majority. Based upon Section 3.2 .5 of the CDO and Session Law 1993, Chapter 247, House Bill 575, a denial is forwarded to City Council for hearing at their next available meeting date.

SECTION 2. That the City Council held a duly advertised public hearing on October 13,2022 . At the close of the public hearing, the City Council adopted the following "Statement of Zoning Consistency" as required by NC Gen. Stat 160A-382(b).

- Thie subject property is located on the north side of Davidson Highway (also referred to as Highway 73 ), just east of the l-85 interchange, is $+/-54.8519$ acres, and is currently vacant.
- The subject property was voluntarily annexed on December 31, 1995 and on April 17, 2007 the property was rezoned to MX-CC2. The rezoning included a site plan, technical standards, and architectural renderings/illustrations for the proposed development.
- The proposed 1-1-CD (Light Industrial Conditional District) and C-2 (General Commercial) zoning classifications are considered corresponding to the 2030 Land Use Plan's Industria/Employment land use category. The proposal meets the intent of the Industrial/Employment (IE) Future Land Use category.by developing an industrial use suited for additional industrial development due to the presence of infrastructure and access to transportation routes, such as major highways and railroads. Furthermore, Industrial Uses such as the International Business Park and other industrial uses have already developed in close proximity.
- The zoning amendment is reasonable and in the public interest as the petition proposes an Industrial Use in close proximity to I-85 where most tractor trailer traffic will be routed to and from, minimizing the impact on traffic along Highway 73 , and allows the property to develop as an Industrial Use which is consistent with the area.

The City Council then voted to APPROVE the map amendment, subject to the following conditions which have been offered by the petitioner and/or mutually agreed upon during the course of the hearing.

The petitioner has consented to the following conditions (which apply only to the l-1-CD Parcel and not the C-2 Parcel):

1. Substantial compliance with the "Hwy 73 Industrial" Rezoning Plans, sheets RZ-1 and RZ-2 revised 10/11/22, "North Elevation - Building A, and South Elevation Building $A$ and East \& West Elevation, Building A" dated March 21, 2022, also "South Elevation Building B, North Elevation Building B, and East \& West Elevation Building B", dated March 11, 2022.
2. All uses permitted in the l-1 Zoning District as outlined in the City of Concord CDO shall be permitted excluding those listed below:
a. Agricultural Uses
b. Resource Extraction Uses
c. Educational Uses
d. Government Facilities
e. Passenger Terminals
f. Indoor Recreation Uses
g. Outdoor Recreation Uses
h. Retail Sales and Services
i. Vehicle Sales and Service
j. Commercial Parking as a Principle Use
k. Heavy Industrial Uses
l. Self-Service Storage
3. A $50^{\prime}$ buffer will be placed along the east property boundary, which adjoins the Cambridge Commons neighborhood, with any existing vegetation 8 inches in diameter or greater shall be preserved to the greatest extent possible. Prior to any land disturbing activities on the site, the developer will meet with the City Arborist or authorized designee to tag all trees $8^{\prime \prime}$ or greater and to establish limits of disturbance along the eastem property line.
4. A maximum of two principle buildings may be developed on the site, with a maximum Gross Floor Area of 419,000 square feet.
5. The maximum height of any building constructed on the site shall be 50 feet as measured under the Concord Development Ordinance (C.D.O.). For each foot of height over 35 feet, two (2) additional feet of setback shall be provided when abutting residentially zoned property in accordance with Article 7.11.9. of the C.D.O.
6. All rooftop and above-ground mechanicals shall be screened from view to the greatest extent possible, considering the existing grade relative to the existing grades surrounding the property. This may be achieved through parapets on buildings, other structural screening, and/or landscaping.
7. A 4 ' tall black powder-coated aluminum decorative fence with evergreen shrubs planted $5^{\prime}$ O.C. on the street-side of the fence will be installed between the street yard and parking lot yard.
8. Compliance with all minimum requirements relative to landscaping, stormwater, transportation, and Fire protection; and
9. Technical site review and approval plan shall be required.
10. The subject plan is not designed to preliminary plat or technical site plan standards and therefore, any intended or perceived deviation from technical standards resulting from the somewhat conceptual nature of the plan shall not constitute approval to deviate from, or negate, technical standards within the Concord Development Ordinance, Technical Standards Manual, or any other regulatory document.
11. Developer shall install a 12 foot tall, double sided, wooden screen fence along that eastern portion of the eastern edge of the access drive from Highway 73 to Building Envelope B that is more particularly depicted on the Rezoning Plan. This wooden screen shall be installed prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for a building located in Building Envelope B.
12. Developer shall install Green Giant Arborvitae along that portion of the eastern edge of the access drive from Highway 73 to Building Envelope $B$ that is more particularly depicted on the Rezoning Plan. The Green Giant Arborvitae shall be installed on the eastern side of the wooden fence referenced above in Paragraph $A$, the Green Giant Arborvitae shall each be a minimum of 6 feet in height at the time of installation and the Green Giant Arborvitae shall be planted at the rate of 8 trees per 100 feet or as directed by the City Arborist. The Green Giant Arborvitae shall be installed prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for a building located in Building Envelope B.
Developer shall be responsible for maintaining the Green Giant Arborvitae and replacing any dead or dying Green Giant Arborvitae. Replacement Green Giant Arborvitae shall each be a minimum of 6 feet in height at the time of installation.
13. Developer shall install a sign adjacent to the access drive from Highway 73 to Building Envelope B that provides that the maximum speed limit on this access drive is 10 miles per hour.
14. Developer shall install a sign adjacent to the access drive from Highway 73 to Building Envelope B that provides that engine braking for trucks is not permitted on this access drive.
15. An existing wooden fence is located along or near a portion of the Site's eastern boundary line (the common property line with the Cambridge Commons Community). Portions of this wooden screen fence are in a state of despair. Developer, at its cost and expense, shall repair or replace (as necessary) those segments of this wooden screen fence that are in a state of disrepair. In the event that any segment of this wooden screen fence that is in a state of disrepair is not located on the Site and is located on an adjacent parcel of lane, the owner of the adjacent parcel of land must consent in writing to the repair or replacement (as necessary) of such segment of this wooden screen fence by Developer. If an owner of an adjacent parcel of land does not consent in writing to the repair or replacement (as necessary) of the segment of the wooden screen fence located on such owner's adjacent parcel of land, then Developer shall have no obligation to do so.
16. Developer, at its cost and expense, shall engage an arborist to review and inspect the existing trees located on the Site that are located along or near the Site's common property line with the Cambridge Commons Community and Developer shall submit the
report to the Concord City Arborist for his review and approval. Based upon the report of the arborist, Developer shall remove any dead or dying trees and/or limbs that are located on the Site along or near the Site's common property line with the Cambridge Commons Community.

SECTION 3: That the Official Zoning Map is hereby amended by rezoning from Mixed Use-Commercial Center Large (MX-CC2) to Light Industrial - Conditional District ( $1-1-C D$ ) and General Commercial (C-2) the areas described as follows:

Being all of that tract or parcel of land situated, lying and being in the City of Concord, Cabarrus County, North Carolina, and being more particularly described as follows:

## L-1-CD Portion:

Commencing at an existing NGS monument "Hanover" having NC Grid NAD 83 coordinates of N: 616,524.02, E: $1,510,789.48$, thence North $76^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West a horizonal ground distance of 1363.68 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod, situated at the intersection of the northerly margin of Davidson Highway (NC Highway 73)(an assumed $60^{\circ}$ public right-of-way) as shown on NCDOT plans project number 34187.2 .2 (ID number [-3808B) with the southwesterly comer of Lot 2 as shown on Map Book 28, Page 68, all Deed and Map Books recorded in the Cabarrus County Public Register of Deeds; thence along the westerly line of Lot 2 and Lof 3 as shown on the aforesaid Map Book 28, Page 68, North $03^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ East passing an existing $1 / 2^{11}$ iron rod at
6.22 feet for a total distance of 34.08 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod, situated on the northerly margin of Davidson Highway (NC Highway 73) (a variable width right-ofway) as shown on NCDOT plans project number 34187.2.2 (ID number l-3808B) and described in Deed Book 12988, Page 146 and 10035, Page 24 and being the Point of Beginning; thence along the aforesaid northerly margin for the following twelve (12) courses and distances; 1) North $67^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 0.45 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 2) North $42^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 86.58 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 3) North $17^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 158.56 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 4) South $86^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 120.00 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 5) South $25^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 101.01 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 6) South $61^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 72.33 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 7) North $78^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 270.91 feet to an existing metal monument; 8) North $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$
West a distance of 61.79 feet to an existing metal monument; 9) North $76^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 297.97 feet to an existing metal monument; 10) South $88^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 144.07 feet to an existing metal monument; 11) North $76^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 154.47 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 12) North $78^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 210.66 to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; thence leaving aforesaid northerly margin and running with a new line through the lands of AAC/Shoffner Development LP as described in Deed Book 8072, Page 60 North $24^{\circ} 31^{\prime \prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 559.17 feet to a point in the centerline of a creek; thence with the centerline of a creek for the following four (4) courses and distances: 1) South $84^{\circ} 19^{\prime 2} 21^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 9.98 feet to a point; 2) South $71^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 8.45 feet to a point; 3) South $83^{\circ} 54^{\prime \prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 33.97 feet to a point; 4) North $66^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 12.20 feet to a point; thence leaving said creek and running with a line through the aforesaid lands of AAC/Shoffner Development LP North $87^{\circ} 52^{\prime \prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of95.27 feet to an existing 1 " iron pipe being the northeast comer of the lands of AAC/Shoffner Development LP as described in Deed Book 8072, Page 65; thence with the northerly line of the lands of aforesaid AAC/Shoffner Development LP North $83^{\circ} 27.17^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 253.14 feet to an existing I" iron pipe being the northeast comer of the land of Shree Kamnath Corporation as described in Deed Book 11316, Page 281; thence with the northerly line of the lands of Shree Kamnath Corporation for the following three (3) courses and distances: 1) North $83^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 101.62 feet to an existing $1^{\prime \prime}$ iron pipe; 2) North $71^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 233.62 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 3) North
$84^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 33.75 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod being the northeast comer of the land of Propst Bros. Dist., Inc as described in Deed Book 11426, Page 336; thence with the northerly line of the lands of Propst Bros. Dist., Inc. North $84^{\circ} 04117^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 63.53 feet to an existing $1^{\prime \prime}$ iron pipe, situated on the southerly margin of the aforesaid US Interstate 85 (an assumed variable width public right-of-way); thence along the aforesaid southerly margin for the following eleven (11) courses sand distances; 1) North $55^{\circ} 26138^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 75.21 feet to an existing metal monument; 2) North $50^{\circ} 41129^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 238.78 feet to an existing $3^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ concrete monument; 3) North $66^{\circ} 39132^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 42.81 feet to an existing $3^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ concrete monument; 4) North $67^{\circ} 46117^{\prime \prime}$ East'passing an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod at 36.75 feet for a total distance of 293.14 feet to a new $1 / 2^{11}$ iron rod; 5) with a curve along the arc of a curve to the right said curve having an arc length of 1755.12 feet a radius of 5583.11 feet (chord bearing of Noith $77^{\circ} 43100^{\prime \prime}$ East and chord distance of 1747.90 feet) to an existing metal monument; 6) South $79^{\circ} 13112^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 99.86 feet to an existing metal monument; 7) North $88^{\circ} 13115^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 48.65 feet to an existing metal monument; 8) North $75^{\circ} 40123^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 50.25 feet to an existing metal monument; 9) North $86^{\circ} 13131^{\prime \prime}$ " East a distance of 257.40 feet to an existing $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ concrete monument; 10) North $89^{\circ} 18143^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 141.54 feet to an existing $6 " \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ concrete monument; 11) South $88^{\circ} 53159^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 6.40 feet to an existing $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod, situated on the northerly line of the lands of Willie H. Foster as described in Deed Book 506, Page 701; thence along the northerly line of the aforesaid lands and along the northerly and westerly lines of the lands as shown on Map Book 28, Page 68 for the following thirteen (13) courses and distances; 1) South $58^{\circ} 35134^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 882.82 feet to an existing $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 2) South $58^{\circ} 32152^{\prime \prime}$ West passing an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod at 22.91 feet, continue and passing an existing $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod at 122.56 feet for a total distance of 497.42 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 3) North $85^{\circ} 07^{\prime 2} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 132.51 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 4) with a curve along the arc of a curve to the right said curve having an arc length of 47.13 feet a radius of 130.00 feet (chord bearing of North $57^{\circ} 08125^{\prime \prime}$ East and chord distance of 46.88 feet) to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 5) with a compound curve along the arc of a curve to the right said curve having an arc length of 94.84 feet a radius of 192.77 feet (chord bearing of North $81^{\circ} 39110^{\prime \prime}$ East and chord distance of 93.88 feet) to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 6) South $85^{\circ} 50127^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 5.88 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 7) with a curve along the arc of a curve to the right said curve having an arc length of 48.13 feet a radius of 57.18 feet (chord bearing of South $59^{\circ} 47114^{\prime \prime}$ East and chord distance of 46.72 feet) to an existing $\cdot 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 8) North $85^{\circ} 09117^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 99.92 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 9) South $03^{\circ} 25124^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 189.07 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 10) South $87^{\circ} 53114^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 19.43 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 11) South $03^{\circ} 40100^{\prime \prime}$ West passing an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod at 317.57 feet for a total distance of 349.98 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 12) North $86^{\circ} 21111^{\prime \prime}$. West a distance of 19.98 feet to an existing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod; 13) South $03^{\circ} 38139^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 435.26 feet to the Point of Beginning; containing 2,171,511 square feet or 49.8510 acres of land, more or less, as shown on a survey prepared by R. B. Pharr \& Associates, P.A. dated February 25, 2021 (Project No. 92583).

## C-2 Portion:

Commencing at an existing NGS monument "Hanover" having NC Grid NAD 83 coordinates of $\mathrm{N}: 616,524.02, \mathrm{E}: 1,510,789.48$, thence North $76^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ West a horizonal ground distance of 3305.17 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod, situated on the northerly margin of Davidson Highway (NC Highway 73)(a variable width right-ofway) as shown on NCDOT plans project number 34187.2 .2 (ID number I-3808B) and described in Deed Book 12988, Page 146 and 10035, Page 24, all Deed and Map Books recorded in the Cabarrus County Public Register of Deeds, said point being the southeast comer of the land of Shree Kamnath Corporation as described in Deed Book 11316, Page 281; thence with the easterly line of the lands of Shree Kamnath Corporation North $31^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 523.26 feet.to an existing 1" iron pipe, situated on the southerly line of the land of Shoffner Development,

LLC as described in Deed Book 8072, Page 56; thence along the southerly line of the aforesaid lands for the following six (6) courses and distances: 1) South $83^{\circ} 27^{\prime 1} 17^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 253.14 feet to an existing $1^{\prime \prime}$ iron pipe; 2) South $87^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 95.27 feet to a point in the centerline of a creek; 3) South $66^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 12.20 feet to a point in the centerline of a creek; 4) North $83^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 33.97 feet to a point in the centerline of a creek; 5) North $71^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 8.45 feet to a point in the centerline of a creek; 6) North $84^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of9. 98 feet to a point in the centerline of a creek; thence turning and leaving said creek and running with a new line through the lands of Shoffner Development, LLC as described in Deed Book 8072, Page 60 South $24^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 559.17 feet to a new $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod, situated on the northerly margin of the aforesaid Davidson Highway; thence along the aforesaid northerly margin of Davidson Highway for the following four (4) courses and distances: 1) North $78^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 202.49 feet to an existing metal monument; 2) North $74^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 101.31 feet to an existing metal monument; 3) North $80^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 101.27 feet to an existing metal monument; 4) North $81^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 58.16 feet to the Point of Beginning; Containing 217,838 square feet or 5.0009 acres of land, more or less, as shown on a survey prepared by R. B. Pharr \& Associates, P.A. dated February 25, 2021 (Project No. 92583).

## IF APPROVED

SECTION 4. That the establishment of this district and subsequent issuance of Zoning Clearance Permits are hereby authorized.

SECTION 5. That the above described property shall be perpetually bound to the uses authorized in the Concord Development Ordinance, as such may be amended from time to time and as provided for under Article 3 of the Concord Development Ordinance.

SECTION 6. That the effective date hereof is the $13^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2022
Adopted this $13^{h}$ day of October 2022
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AERIAL

## Application for

An office, warehouse, distribution and light industrial business park that would contain a maximum of 500,000 sft of gross floor area

4208 Davidson Hwy PIN: 5601-88-8181, 5601-87-9077 \& part of 5601-87-7425


Source: City of Concord Planning Department

## Disclaimer

These maps and products are designed for general reference only and data contained herein is subject to change. The City Of Concord, it's employees or agents make no warranty of merchantability or fitness for any purpose, expressed or implied, and assume no legal responsibility for the information contained therein. and accuracy. Additional research such as field surveys may be necessary to determine actual conditions.
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## LAND USE PLAN

## Application for

An office, warehouse, distribution and light industrial business park that would contain a maximum of $\mathbf{5 0 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ s f t}$ of gross floor area

4208 Davidson Hwy
PIN: 5601-88-8181, 5601-87-9077
\& part of 5601-87-7425

andaid

Source: City of Concord Planning Department

## Disclaimer

These maps and products are designed for general reference only and data contained herein is subject to change. The City Of Concord, it's employees or agents make no warranty of merchantability or fitness for any purpose, expressed or implied, and assume no Data used is from multiple sources with various scales and accuracy. Additional research such as field surveys may be necessary to determine actual conditions.

DATE:
CASE:

April 18 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2023$

TA-03-23 Text Amendment to Address Maximum Height Limitations for Accessory Structures

Kevin Ashley, AICP -Deputy Planning Director

## BACKGROUND

The staff is proposing an amendment to the Concord Development Ordinance (CDO) to address maximum height limitations for accessory structures. We have had several instances where accessory structures are proposed to be located within the principal structure setback of a parcel. In these instances, the CDO limits the height to fifteen (15) feet instead of the principal structure height within the particular zoning district.

Accessory structures are permissible in any zoning district, but are more typically seen in residential districts in the form of detached garages or garden sheds. Accessory structure setbacks for residential districts are five (5) feet from the side and rear setbacks.

From a planning standpoint, allowing taller accessory structures within a principal structure setback appears to be reasonable.

Staff is proposing to allow accessory structures to be increased to two (2) stories when they are located within a principal structure setback. This change will allow some flexibility for the development of uses such as accessory dwelling units (over a garage) in residential zoning districts.

The amendment is in approval form for referral to Council.

### 8.4.2. LOCATION

A. Accessory structures shall be required meet the setback standards for accessory structures as set forth in Section 7.6.3 Dimensional and Density Standards. Accessory structures may be located within a setback yard for principal structures and shall be regulated in accordance with the standards below. No accessory structure shall be located less than 36 inches from the exterior wall of the principal structure. Structures that are located closer than 36 inches shall be considered as additions to the principal structure and shall conform to all applicable setbacks.
B. For residential lots not exceeding two (2) acres, detached accessory buildings shall not be located in the front yard. Detached accessory buildings may be built in the required rear yard but such accessory buildings shall not occupy more than thirty (30\%) percent of the required rear yard and shall not be closer than five feet to any side or rear lot line or setback line.
C. For residential lots exceeding two (2) acres, detached accessory buildings may be located in the front yard but not closer than seventyfive feet (75') from the front property line/street right-of-way. Detached accessory buildings may be closer than the distance specified above if they are not visible from a public street.
D. The location of permitted non-residential accessory structures shall be governed by the same dimensional regulations as set forth for the principal use structure(s).
E. Accessory buildings on double frontage lots shall not be closer to either street than the required front yard setback.

### 8.4.3. HEIGHT

A. Accessory buildings shall not exceed:

1. The standard height regulations of the zoning district as set forth in Section 7.6.2.B3 where accessory structure is located within the buildable lot area;
2. Shall not exceed fifteen (15) feet in height, where accessory structure is located within an accessory a principal structure setback yard. Accessory structures may be two (2) stories in height when located within a principal structure setback yard.
